

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

PAGE1

**DEC 31 2007**

PTO/SB/21 (12-07)

Approved for use through 12/31/2007. OMB 0851-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

# TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

7

Application Number

10/740486

Filing Date

Dec. 22, 2003

First Named Inventor

Vanderhye

Art Unit

2625

Examiner Name

Singh

Attorney Docket Number

**ENCLOSURES**

(Check all that apply)



Fee Transmittal Form



Fee Attached



Amendment/Reply



After Final



Affidavits/declaration(s)



Extension of Time Request



Express Abandonment Request



Information Disclosure Statement



Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)



Reply to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application



Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53



Drawing(s)



Licensing-related Papers



Petition



Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application



Power of Attorney, Revocation



Change of Correspondence Address



Terminal Disclaimer



Request for Refund



CD, Number of CD(s) \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Landscape Table on CD

Remarks



After Allowance Communication to TC



Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences



Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)



Proprietary Information



Status Letter



Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):

techweb.com definition of rotoscoping

**SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT**

Firm Name

Signature

Printed name

Robert A. Vanderhye

Date

December 31, 2007

Reg. No.

27,076

**CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature

Typed or printed name

Robert A. Vanderhye

Date

12/31/2007

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

DEC 31 2007

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT &amp; TRADEMARK OFFICE

## U. S. APPLICATION

SN 10/740,486

Art Unit: 2625

Filed: December 22, 2003

Examiner: Singh

Inventors: Robert A. VANDERHYE

FOR: PRODUCING ARTWORK USING COLOR PRINTERS

\* \* \* \* \*

December 31, 2007

Hon. Comm. Of Patents & Trademarks  
P O Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Responsive to the FINAL REJECTION of December 27, 2007, please consider the following:

REMARKS

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of all of the pending claims in the previous action based upon the Abram et al reference. The Abram et al reference essentially has nothing to do with the claimed invention, and it is respectfully submitted that the majority of the statements made in the final rejection about the Abram et al reference simply are not correct.

Claims 1 and 24 call for a method of producing a piece of art work using a computer controlled color printer capable of printing at least three colors. One or more of the colors are selectively disabled, while NOT disabling all of the colors besides black. With the printer the non-disabled colors of the image are printed onto a substrate.

Abram et al nowhere teach producing a piece of artwork using a computer controlled color printer capable of printing at least three colors. No portion of the Abram et al disclosure which allegedly discloses such a printer is indicated in any way in the final rejection, and in fact none exists. Rather all the printer in Abram et al does is print a line art image such as illustrated at 805 or 820 in figure 8. The line art merely provides